

Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids 297 (2002) 96-101



www.elsevier.com/locate/jnoncrysol

# Short-range order in non-stoichiometric amorphous silicon oxynitride and silicon-rich nitride

V.A. Gritsenko<sup>a,\*</sup>, R.W.M. Kwok<sup>b</sup>, Hei Wong<sup>c</sup>, J.B. Xu<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institute of Semiconductor Physics, Siberian Branch of Russian Academy of Science, Lavrentiev Ave-13, 630090 Novosibirsk, Russia
 <sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong
 <sup>c</sup> Department of Electronic Engineering, City U, 83 Tat Chee Avenue, Hong Kong
 <sup>d</sup> Department of Electronic Engineering, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong

Received 9 March 2001; received in revised form 16 April 2001

#### Abstract

By de-convoluting the Si 2p X-ray photoelectronic spectra, it was found that the short-range order in amorphous silicon oxynitride  $(SiO_xN_y)$  films with different compositions can be quantitatively described by the random bonding model. In this model the  $SiO_xN_y$  consists of five types of randomly distributed tetrahedra and it indicates that metal–oxide–semiconductor transistor with this gate dielectric will not result in any gigantic potential fluctuation in the conduction channel. On the contrary, the structure of silicon-rich silicon nitride  $SiN_x$  can only be described by the random mixture model where the local composition fluctuations in this film will result in gigantic potential contravariant fluctuation. © 2002 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

PACS: 82.80Pv; 73.40.Qv; 73.60.Hy

## 1. Introduction

In future nanoscale silicon metal–oxide–semiconductor (MOS) devices, the gate dielectric thickness will be further scaled down to less than 4 nm and many dielectric-induced instabilities will result. To meet this challenge, it is proposed that the amorphous thermal gate silicon dioxide (SiO<sub>2</sub>) should be replaced by amorphous silicon oxynitride (SiO<sub>x</sub>N<sub>y</sub>) [1–3] or stacked SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> dielectric [4]. Particularly, the oxynitride dielectric,

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

combining the advantages of SiO<sub>2</sub> and amorphous silicon nitride (Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>) [1], has been investigated extensively and silicon-rich silicon nitride (SiN<sub>x</sub>) has been used as in triple SiO<sub>2</sub>/SiN<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> structures for memory devices [5]. The bonding structure in oxynitride and nitride are quite clear now. The bonding in stoichiometric SiO<sub>x</sub>N<sub>y</sub> is governed by the Mott rule [6–8]. According to this rule, each Si atom is co-ordinated by four O and/or N atoms, each O atom (as in SiO<sub>2</sub>) is co-ordinated by two Si atoms, and each N atom is co-ordinated by three Si or O atoms. These bonds should also form the basic network elements of non-stoichiometric amorphous oxynitride films. However, the composition of the bonds and network structure

E-mail address: grits@isp.nsc.ru (V.A. Gritsenko).

<sup>0022-3093/02/\$ -</sup> see front matter @ 2002 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved. PII: S0022-3093(01)00910-3

for the non-stoichiometric dielectric films are still unclear.

There are two extreme models for modeling the structure of tetrahedral amorphous non-stoichiometric alloys: the random mixture model (RMM) and the random bonding model (RBM). These models have been widely used to describe the structure of tetrahedral  $SiO_xN_y$ , non-stoichiometric amorphous silicon oxide ( $SiO_x$ ) and non-stoichiometric amorphous silicon nitride ( $SiN_x$ ) [9– 19]. According to RMM, the  $SiO_xN_y$  film consists of separated  $SiO_2$  and  $Si_3N_4$  phases and the  $SiN_x$ film consists of separated  $Si_3N_4$  and Si phases, whereas, in the random bonding model, it is assumed that the  $SiO_xN_y$  and  $SiN_x$  film consist of a network of five tetrahedra of  $SiO_yN_{4-y}$  and  $SiN_ySi_{4-y}$  (where y = 0, 1, 2, 3 and 4), respectively.

The dielectric constants of SiO<sub>2</sub> and Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> are 3.9 and 6.5, respectively. If RMM is the real structure for oxynitride film, the gate oxynitride should be composed of a mixture of SiO<sub>2</sub> and  $Si_3N_4$  nanoclusters; then the gigantic surface potential fluctuations  $(q\delta)$  will be probably generated by the gate voltage in the vicinity of the channel of MOS devices for very thin gate dielectric film (1-4)nm). The surface potential fluctuation may significantly large than the thermal voltage (kT) and causes several undesirable effects such as carrier mobility degradation. Hence it is essential to understand the short-range order in  $SiO_x N_y$  to have a good gate oxynitride for MOS devices. As only qualitative description of the RBM was reported, this work aims to quantitatively validate the applicability of the RBM or RMM to a ternary alloy  $SiO_x N_y$  and to compare with the short-range order properties in  $SiN_x$ .

#### 2. Theory

The distribution function of the  $\text{SiO}_v N_{4-v}$  tetrahedron in  $\text{SiO}_x N_y$  with composition x and y in RBM is given by [13,14]

$$W(v, x, y) = \left(\frac{2x}{2x + 3y}\right)^{v} \left(\frac{3y}{2x + 3y}\right)^{4-v} \times \frac{4!}{v!(4-v)!}.$$
 (1)

Eq. (1) can be used to describe quantitatively the relative probability of the five sorts of  $SiO_v N_{4-v}$  tetrahedra in  $SiO_x N_y$ . To determine the chemical shift of Si 2p peaks for tetrahedra  $SiO_4$ ,  $SiO_3 N$ ,  $SiO_2 N_2$ ,  $SiON_3$ , and  $SiN_4$  in  $SiO_x N_y$ , we extended the Hasegawa RBM for binary alloys ( $SiO_x$  or  $SiN_x$ ) [10] to ternary alloy. For a tetrahedron of  $SiO_v N_{4-v}$  with bonding unit  $Si_k O_m N_n$ , the partial charge  $P_i(v)$  of atom *j* can be determined by

$$P_j(v) = (S_{\rm SiON} - S_j) / (2.08 S_j^{1/2}), \qquad (2)$$

where j = Si, O or N, and  $S_{\text{SiON}} = (S_{\text{Si}}^k S_{\text{O}}^m S_{\text{N}}^n)^{1/(k+m+n)}$  is the Sanderson electronegativity in the bonding unit of  $\text{Si}_k \text{O}_m \text{N}_n$  and the Sanderson electronegativities for Si, O, and N atoms are  $S_{\text{Si}} = 2.84$ ,  $S_{\text{O}} = 5.21$ , and  $S_{\text{N}} = 4.49$ , respectively [20].

Considering a  $SiO_xN_y$  film with composition x and y, the average partial charge at Si, O and N can be calculated as

$$P_{\rm Si}(x,y) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{4} \left[ P_{\rm Si}(\nu) W(\nu,x,y) \right] \bigg/ \sum_{\nu=0}^{4} \left[ W(\nu,x,y) \right],$$
(3)

$$P_{\rm O}(x,y) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{4} \nu[P_{\rm O}(\nu)W(\nu,x,y)] \bigg/ \sum_{\nu=0}^{4} \nu[W(\nu,x,y)],$$
(4)

$$P_{N}(x,y) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{4} (4-\nu)[P_{N}(\nu)W(\nu,x,y)] \\ / \sum_{\nu=0}^{4} (4-\nu)[W(\nu,x,y)].$$
(5)

Since the chemical shift of Si 2p level for each of the five tetrahedra is proportional to the partial charge on the Si atom, we can use the Si  $2p_{3/2}$  position in SiO<sub>2</sub> and Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> to determine the Si  $2p_{3/2}$  positions of SiON<sub>3</sub>, SiO<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub> and SiO<sub>3</sub>N tetrahedra.

#### 3. Results and discussion

The amorphous  $SiO_x N_y$  films of different compositions were prepared on silicon  $\langle 100 \rangle$  substrates by low-pressure chemical vapour deposition (LPCVD) from SiH<sub>4</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> source at 875 °C. The chemical compositions were determined by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). Angle-resolved XPS measurements were used. With this approach, when the detector is normal to the sample surface, the XPS signals are considered as the bulk signals of the dielectric films. Further details of SiO<sub>x</sub>N<sub>y</sub> film preparation and XPS measurements were reported previously [6]. The SiN<sub>x</sub> samples with thickness about 200 Å were produced by LPCVD from a mixture of SiH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> with different ratios at 760 °C on silicon  $\langle 100 \rangle$  wafers.

Random bonding simulations of the Si 2p peaks with spin doublet splitting energy of 0.61 eV were conducted for comparison. For all the six measured SiO<sub>x</sub>N<sub>y</sub> samples, the same values of chemical shifts and full-width half-maximum (FWHM) for the five tetrahedra were used and the calculated binding energies were used as the peak positions. The same approach was also used for the SiN<sub>x</sub> spectra simulations.

Fig. 1 shows the Si 2p core level XPS of  $SiO_x N_y$ films with different compositions. Experimental spectra are shown in dots and the simulated spectra from RMM (second spectrum) and RBM (except second spectrum) are depicted in solid lines. For  $SiO_x N_y$  simulation the chemical shift of SiO<sub>4</sub>, SiO<sub>3</sub>N, SiO<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>, SiON<sub>3</sub>, and SiN<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra was calculated using Eq. (3), and the assumption that the chemical shift is proportional to charge on the central silicon atom. The experimental FWHM values for Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> and SiO<sub>2</sub> are 1.87 and 1.66 eV, respectively (see Fig. 1). FWHM values for SiO<sub>3</sub>N,  $SiO_2N_2$ , and  $SiON_3$  tetrahedra were estimated based on linear interpolation using the number of oxygen atoms as a parameter. Regardless of the different compositions of x and y, only one peak is observed in experimental spectra. These results do not agree with the RMM. According to the RMM, the Si 2p XPS spectrum should have two peaks, corresponding to the SiO2 and Si3N4 phases, respectively (see the second spectrum in Fig. 1). Instead, the RBM can fit the XPS results for all the samples very well. Curves (except the second trace) below the experimental spectra are the components of different tetrahedra  $(SiO_{\nu}N_{4-\nu})$  that make



Fig. 1. Si 2p XPS spectra of  $SiO_xN_y$  with different compositions. The experimental spectra are shown in dots and the simulated spectra are depicted in solid lines.

up the solid lines to approximate the experimental spectra. However, the RBM is not applicable to all kinds of dielectric films.

Fig. 2 shows the Si 2p levels XPS of SiN<sub>x</sub> with different compositions. The experimental spectra, the spectra simulated by RBM and the spectra simulated by RMM are shown in dots, dashed lines and solid lines, respectively. For SiN<sub>x</sub> RBM simulation, the chemical shifts and values of FWHM of SiN<sub>y</sub>Si<sub>4-v</sub> (v = 1, 2, 3) tetrahedra were considered as linear functions of the number of nitrogen atoms. The experimental spectrum (first trace of Fig. 2) shows a feature dominated by two components. The RBM calculation predicts that the Si 2p spectrum consists of a single broad peak only and does not agree with the corresponding



Fig. 2. Si 2p core level of  $SiN_x$  with different compositions. The experimental spectra, the spectra simulated by RBM and the spectra simulated by RMM are shown in dots, dashed lines and solid lines, respectively.

experimental spectrum. The first RMM Si 2p spectrum has two peaks corresponding to Si and Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> phases and correlates quite well with the experimental spectrum in the peak locations. The XPS data show the existence of different chemical phases in  $SiN_x$ , corresponding to  $SiN_4$ ,  $SiN_3Si$ , SiN<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>, SiNSi<sub>3</sub>, and SiN<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra. The existence of Si clusters for the present  $SiN_x$  samples has been reported previously using Raman scattering study [21]. The size of the Si cluster is estimated to be in the range of 1.5–5.0 nm. Previously, silicon clusters in  $SiN_r$  with size in the range 1.3– 2.4 nm were observed directly with high-resolution transmission electron microscopy, photoluminescence, and optical absorption [22]. The sub-nitride components can be due to the species at the interfaces between the Si clusters and  $Si_3N_4$ . To have a better correlation to other experimental spectra, further de-convolution based on the mixture of Si,  $Si_3N_4$  and sub-nitrides (SiN\_3Si, SiN\_2Si\_2, and SiNSi\_3 tetrahedra) is needed.

Depending on the chemical composition, the band gap for the SiN<sub>x</sub> can vary from  $4.6 \pm 0.2$  eV (nitride) to 1.1 eV (silicon) [15,23]. Hence the energy gap will fluctuate locally due to the spatial chemical composition fluctuations. The local gap fluctuations of the SiN<sub>x</sub> result in spatial fluctuations of potentials for electrons and holes (see Fig. 3(a)). The XPS valence band spectra of Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>, Si, Au, and SiN<sub>x</sub> samples had been measured to have quantitative information on the maximum of potential fluctuation for holes. Since the Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> va-



Fig. 3. Gigantic potential fluctuation model: (a) one-dimensional energy band diagram for  $SiN_x$ ; (b) the corresponding spatial chemical composition fluctuation; and (c) the potential fluctuation model for highly doped compensated semiconductor.

lence band edge  $E_v$  is  $1.5 \pm 0.2$  eV lower than that of Si [23] and the SiN<sub>x</sub> valence band edge shifts towards the silicon band gap as the silicon content increases, the maximum value of hole potential fluctuation in  $SiN_x$  is 1.5 eV and the maximum value of electron potential fluctuation in  $SiN_x$  is equal to the electron barrier height  $\Phi_{\rm e} = 2.0 \pm 0.2$ eV at the Si/Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> interface which was measured using internal photoemission and tunnel injection [8,15,23]. Based on these findings, a gigantic potential contra-variant fluctuations (GPF) model for  $SiN_x$  is developed and is depicted in Fig. 3(a). The qualitative model of GPF in  $SiN_x$  was discussed previously in [24,25] and in  $SiO_x$  in [12]. Note that Fig. 3(a) is the one-dimensional energy diagram for the cross-sectional view (AB line) of the local chemical composition fluctuation model in Fig. 3(b). In our GPF model for  $SiN_x$ , charge neutrality is maintained at every point in space. The local electric fields for electrons and holes at the same point are different in magnitude and opposite in direction. These gigantic contra-variant (for electrons and holes) potential fluctuations will cause non-equilibrium electron/hole pairs moving to the same spatial position with minimum energies. In addition, it results in excited carriers' confinement and increases the probability of carrier recombination. This phenomenon does not exist in  $SiO_xN_y$  with the RBM scenario. This model is similar to the gigantic potential co-variant fluctuation model for highly doped compensated semiconductor as shown in Fig. 3(c) [26,27]. However, the energy gap in the GPF model for highly doped compensated semiconductor is constant. The local electric field leads to carrier separation and local charge neutrality does not exist in this material.

#### 4. Conclusion

In conclusion, XPS results show that the structure of  $SiO_xN_y$  film is not a mixture of  $SiO_2$  and  $Si_3N_4$  clusters. Instead, we found that the short-range order of  $SiO_xN_y$  film can be quantitatively described by the RBM. The  $SiO_xN_y$  constituted by the bonded networks comprises five sorts of randomly distributed tetrahedra  $SiO_yN_{4-y}$ 

(where v = 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4). With this connection, MOS devices with oxynitride as the gate dielectric will not induce gigantic surface potential fluctuations in the vicinity of the channel as no spatial local fluctuation of dielectric constant exists in the randomly distributed oxynitride tetrahedra. However, although silicon-rich SiN<sub>x</sub> also comprises five tetrahedra, the distribution of these tetrahedra does not obey the RBM but the RMM. As a result, gigantic potential fluctuations in SiN<sub>x</sub> occur due to the energy gap variation induced by spatial local chemical composition fluctuations.

### Acknowledgements

This work is supported by project INTAS 97-0347 and partially by City U project no.: 7001134.

## References

- [1] D.A. Buchanan, IBM J. Res. Dev. 43 (1999) 245.
- [2] C.S. Mian, I.S. Flora, Solid State Electron. 43 (1999) 1997.
- [3] T.M. Pan, T.F. Lei, T.S. Chao, IEEE Electron Device Lett. 21 (2000) 378.
- [4] Y.C. Yeo, Q.L. Lu, W.C. Lee, T.J. King, C. Hu, X. Wang, X. Guo, T.P. Ma, IEEE Electron Device Lett. 21 (2000) 540.
- [5] J. Bu, M.H. White, Solid State Electron. 45 (2001) 113.
- [6] V.A. Gritsenko, J.B. Xu, R.M. Kwok, Y.H. Ng, I.H. Wilson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 35 (1998) 1293.
- [7] S. Hasegawa, S. Sakamori, M. Futsudera, T. Inokuma, Y. Kurata, J. Appl. Phys. 89 (2001) 2598.
- [8] V.A. Gritsenko, N.D. Dikovskaja, K.P. Mogilnikov, Thin Solid Films 51 (1978) 353.
- [9] H.R. Philipp, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 8-10 (1972) 627.
- [10] S. Hasegawa, L. He, T. Inokuma, Y. Kurata, Phys. Rev. B 46 (1992) 12478.
- [11] R. Karcher, L. Ley, R.L. Johnson, Phys. Rev. B 30 (1984) 1896.
- [12] V.A. Gritsenko, Yu.P. Kostikov, N.A. Romanov, JETP Lett. 34 (1981) 3.
- [13] I.A. Britov, V.A. Gritsenko, Yu.N. Romaschenko, Sov. Phys. JETP 62 (1985) 321.
- [14] V.A. Gritsenko, Yu.P. Kostikov, S.P. Sinitsa, Inorg. Mater. 19 (1983) 408.
- [15] V.A. Gritsenko, in: Silicon Nitride in Electronics, Elsevier, New York, 1988.
- [16] Z. Yin, F.W. Smith, Phys. Rev. B 42 (1990) 3658.
- [17] G. Wiech, A. Simunek, Phys. Rev. B 49 (1994) 5398.
- [18] T.S. Eriksson, C.G. Granqvist, J. Appl. Phys. 60 (1986) 2081.

- [19] A. Sassella, Phys. Rev. B 48 (1993) 14208.
- [20] R.T. Sanderson, in: Chemical Bonds and Bond Energy, 2nd Ed., Academic, New York, 1976, p. 77.
- [21] V.A. Volodin, M.D. Efremov, V.A. Gritsenko, I.A. Kochybei, Appl. Phys. Lett. 73 (1998) 1212.
- [22] N.M. Park, C.J. Choi, T.Y. Seong, S.J. Park, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 (2001) 1355.
- [23] V.A. Gritsenko, E.E. Meerson, Yu.N. Morokov, Phys. Rev. B 57 (1997) R2081.
- [24] M. Yamaguchi, C. Ogihara, H. Ohta, K. Morigaki, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 114 (1989) 705.
- [25] K.C. Lin, S.C. Lee, J. Appl. Phys. 72 (1992) 5474.
- [26] B.I. Shklovskii, A.I. Efros, Sov. Phys. JETP 35 (1972) 610.
- [27] B.I. Shklovskii, A.I. Efros, Electronic Properties of Doped Semiconductors, Science, Leningrad, 1979.